



**RECORD OF OUTCOMES OF THE PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
COMMITTEE  
HELD AT THE TOWN HALL, PETERBOROUGH ON 29 SEPTEMBER 2016**

**5. Development Control and Enforcement Matters**

**5.1 16/01496/FUL – St Theresa’s House, Manor House Street, Peterborough, PE1 2TL**

**RESOLVED:** (seven voted in favour and two voted against) that planning permission is **GRANTED** subject to:

- 1) The conditions set out in the Committee Report; and
- 2) An additional condition to secure the implementation of an access barrier system to regulate entry and egress from the rear car park.

**Reasons for the decision:**

Subject to the imposition of the attached conditions, the proposal was acceptable having been assessed in the light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and specifically:

- The proposed development would go towards providing additional residential development within the City Centre by 2026, therefore the proposal accords with Policies CS1 and CS2 of the Peterborough Core Strategy DPD (2011);
- The proposed change of use and external alterations would not unacceptably harm the character or appearance of the locally listed building or street scene, and would preserve the setting of the Conservation Area. The proposal would therefore accord with Policies CS16 and CS17 of the Peterborough Core Strategy DPD (2011) and Policies PP2 and PP17 of the Peterborough Policies DPD (2012);
- The proposed change of use would not unacceptably harm the amenity of adjoining neighbours, and would ensure satisfactory living conditions for future occupiers, and therefore accords with Policy CS16 of the Peterborough Core Strategy DPD (2011) and PP3 and PP4 of the Peterborough Policies DPD (2012); and
- The proposal would not result in a highway safety hazard and sufficient car parking can be provided thereby according with Policies PP12 and PP13 of the Peterborough Policies DPD (2012).

**5.2 Urgent Report – 15/01840/OUT – Land to the West of Uffington Road, Barnack, Stamford**

**RESOLVED:** (unanimous) that:

- 1) Reason for refusal R6 of application 15/01840/OUT be withdrawn and instead the archaeological investigation and mitigation be dealt with by way of a suitably worded planning condition; and
- 2) In the event that the applicants were not agreeable to addressing archaeology by way of condition authority be delegated to the Head of Planning to liaise with Counsel and take the most appropriate course of action in dealing with archaeology.

**Reasons for the decision:**

It was considered that the archaeological site investigation and any associated mitigation be dealt with by way of a suitably worded planning condition, and as such officers would not defend the archaeological reason for refusal R6 in their case at the Public Inquiry.

**6. Planning Compliance Quarterly Report on Activity and Performance July to September 2016**

**RESOLVED** that the Committee noted past performance and outcomes.

**Reasons for the decision:**

To help inform future decisions of the Planning and Environmental Protection Committee and potentially reduce costs.